



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/655,383	09/04/2003	Josef Wagner	35369US1	6567	
116 75	590 03/07/2006		EXAMINER		
PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET		•	BRINEY III, WALTER F		
SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			2646		

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ı		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
	wa Anda a O	10/655,38	33	WAGNER, JOSEF		
Oi	fice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
	_	Walter F. I		2646		
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commur ly	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If NO period for - Failure to repl Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F ER IS LONGER, FROM THE Natime may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this common or reply is specified above, the maximum so y within the set or extended period for reply sived by the Office later than three months term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF TH s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nunication. latutory period will apply and wi y will, by statute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tim II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a) ☐ This a 3) ☐ Since	onsive to communication(s) file action is FINAL. this application is in condition d in accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This action is n for allowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		merits is	
Disposition of	Claims					
4)⊠ Claim 4a) Ol 5)⊡ Claim 6)⊠ Claim 7)⊡ Claim	(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the the above claim(s) <u>7-10 and</u> (s) is/are allowed. (s) <u>1-6 and 11-14</u> is/are reject (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restri	<u>15</u> is/are withdrawn fro				
Application Pa	pers					
10)⊠ The di Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the rawing(s) filed on <u>04 Septemb</u> ant may not request that any objected that any objected that or declaration is objected the second of t	er 2003 is/are: a) \boxtimes a ection to the drawing(s) by the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).	
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information I	ferences Cited (PTO-892) oftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Mail Date <u>2/27/06; 9/22/03</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/655,383 Page 2

Art Unit: 2646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 7-10 and 15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse by the applicant's representative Michael Garvey, Reg. No. 35878, during a series of telephonic interviews throughout the week of February 19, 2006.

Inventorship

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luethi (US Patent 4,918,737).

Application/Control Number: 10/655,383

Page 3

Art Unit: 2646

Claim 1 is limited to "a remote control unit for wireless control of an electrical microdevice." Luethi discloses a hearing aid with wireless remote control. See Abstract. As seen in figure 1, and as explained in column 4, lines 12-57, a watch (i.e. "an object worn or carried by a person) including "a band" 7 is integrated with "electronic circuitry" for remotely controlling a hearing aid. One such electronic circuit is illustrated as modulator 16. The fact that the circuitry of Luethi is either integrated within the watch (figure 1) or provided in an auxiliary housing (column 4, lines 47-52) that plugs onto the watch results in an attachment to the band. Therefore, Luethi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

Claim 12 is limited to "the remote control unit as in claim 1," as covered by Luethi. Luethi discloses controlling a hearing aid with the remote control. See Abstract. Therefore, Luethi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

Claim 13 is limited to "the remote control unit as in claim 1," as covered by Luethi. Luethi discloses controlling "a hearing aid" with the remote control. See Abstract. Therefore, Luethi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

Claim 14 is limited to "the remote control unit as in claim 1," as covered by Luethi. Luethi discloses controlling a hearing aid (i.e. "a medical device") control. See Abstract. Therefore, Luethi anticipates all limitations of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/655,383

Art Unit: 2646

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

2. Claims 2, 5, 6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luethi in view of Locher et al. (US Patent 6,126,078).

Claim 2 is limited to "the remote control unit as in claim 1," as covered by Luethi. Luethi discloses that the electronic components are to be provided in an auxiliary housing which can be plugged onto a commonly worn article, such as a wristwatch. See column 4, lines 47-52. Clearly, the auxiliary housing corresponds to "a housing separate from the object and having the electronic circuitry contained therein." However, there is no clear indication that the auxiliary housing disclosed by Luethi "provides attachibility to the band." However, this deficiency is overcome by an obvious modification.

In particular, it is noted that because Luethi is silent regarding the means in which the auxiliary housing is to be "plugged onto" an existing watch, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been inherently motivated to provide such means. Locher teaches one such means in figures 18a, 18b and 19 thereof. As seen in the cross-section of figure 18b, an auxiliary housing 3 is provided with overlapping legs 8 that wrap around a band PA. As the legs are integrated with the housing 3 that contains the electronics MI, it follows that the housing provides "attachibility to a band." See column 8, line 62, through column 9, line 67.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the auxiliary housing as taught by Locher simply because Luethi is

Art Unit: 2646

completely silent regarding the structure of a housing comprising electronic components and the means in which said housing is to be connected to a watch band.

Claim 5 is limited to "the remote control unit as in claim 2," as covered by Luethi in view of Locher. While figures 18a, 18b and 19 do not show the following, Locher teaches clipping carrier device 1, including circuitry MI, onto a band using four elastic tabs. See column 9, lines 50-53. The tabs correspond to "spring clips or clamp-like sections for detachable mounting on said band." Therefore, Luethi in view of Locher makes obvious all limitations of the claim.

Claim 6 is limited to "the remote control unit as in claim 2," as covered by Luethi in view of Locher. While figures 18a, 18b and 19 do not show the following, Locher teaches clipping carrier device 1, including circuitry MI, onto a band using four elastic tabs. See column 9, lines 50-53. The tabs correspond to "means for detachably mounting on the band." Therefore, Luethi in view of Locher makes obvious all limitations of the claim.

Claim 11 is limited to "the remote control unit as in claim 1," as covered by Luethi. Apropos the rejection of claim 2, it would have been obvious to provide the auxiliary housing of Luethi in the manner taught by Locher. Locher teaches electronic circuitry MI that is "centrally located" in a housing 3. The housing 3 includes several plastic legs 8 (i.e. "elastomeric polymer or a rubber-like material) that include Velcro, thus enabling the fitting of housing 3 to bands of different sizes. See column 8, line 62, through column 9, line 47. Therefore, Luethi in view of Locher makes obvious all limitations of the claim.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luethi in view of Loizeaux (US Patent 4,993,004).

Claim 2 is limited to "the remote control unit as in claim 1," as covered by Luethi. Luethi discloses that the electronic components are to be provided in an auxiliary housing which can be plugged onto a commonly worn article, such as a wristwatch. See column 4, lines 47-52. Clearly, the auxiliary housing corresponds to "a housing separate from the object and having the electronic circuitry contained therein." However, there is no clear indication that the auxiliary housing disclosed by Luethi "provides attachibility to the band." However, this deficiency is overcome by an obvious modification.

In particular, it is noted that because Luethi is silent regarding the means in which the auxiliary housing is to be "plugged onto" an existing watch, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been inherently motivated to provide such means. Loizeaux teaches one such means in figures 1-4 thereof. As seen in the top view of figure 4, an auxiliary housing 2 is provided with clips 4 that wrap around a band PA. As the clips are integrated with the housing 2 that contains the electronics (figure 3), it follows that the housing provides "attachibility to a band." See column 3, lines 32-63.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the auxiliary housing as taught by Loizeaux simply because Luethi is completely silent regarding the structure of a housing comprising electronic components and the means in which said housing is to be connected to a watch band.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,383 Page 7

Art Unit: 2646

 Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luethi in view of Loizeaux and further in view of Fujisawa et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0107054).

Claim 3 is limited to "the remote control unit as in claim 2," as covered by Luethi in view of Loizeaux. Luethi clearly indicates the necessity of user input 10 in column 4, lines 34-40. It is noted that Loizeaux provides a housing with a touchpad 10 that can be used to provide this functionality. The touchpad 10 corresponds to "user operable operation elements. It is further noted that because Luethi and Loizeaux are not both directed toward remote controls, off-loading the buttons from the watch is not inherent. However, there is motivation in the prior art to do so. In particular, Fujisawa teaches that an electronic package should be provided in a self-contained manner so that any hitherto used watch may be used in connection with the effects of the electronic package. See paragraphs 389-392 and paragraphs 401.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a housing with a touchpad as taught by Loizeaux to realize the advantages taught by Fujisawa.

Claim 4 is limited to "the remote control unit as in claim 3," as covered by Luethi in view of Loizeaux and further in view of Fujisawa. It was shown apropos the rejection of claim 3 that the cited prior art makes obvious a "touchpad." Therefore, Luethi in view of Loizeaux and further in view of Fujisawa makes obvious all limitations of the claim.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/655,383

Art Unit: 2646

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter F. Briney III whose telephone number is 571-272-7513. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WFB

SINH TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8